

REMARKS

Claims 11-16 and 18-21. Claims 11 and 18 are amended and claims 22 and 23 cancelled. Reconsideration and allowance based on the above-amendments and following remarks are respectfully requested.

The Examiner rejects claims 11-16 and 18-23 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kunzman, et al. (U.S. 6,054,832) in view Hewlett, et al. (US 5,812,303) and applicants' admitted prior art. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Telephone Interviews

During a telephone communication with the Examiner on August 27, 2004, the Examiner stated that the features of claims 22 and 23 if included into independent claims 11 and 18 would place the application in condition for allowance. During a telephone communication on September 13, 2004, applicant's representative confirmed with the Examiner that amending claims 11 and 18 to include the features of claims 22 and 23 would likely overcome the rejection and place the application in condition for allowance.

In reliance upon the above noted Communication with the Examiner, claims 11 and 18 have been amended to include the features of dependent claims 22 and 23. Thus, the claims should now be in condition for allowance.

Applicants, however, provide the following arguments to illustrate the novelty of the amended claims over the prior art.

Deficiencies in the Rejection

Claim 11 recites a light source, light-transmitting filters, a light valve, white light transmitting filters, a signal converter portion and a drive device. The drive device is coupled to the signal converter portion and creates control signals that control both the light transmitting filters and the light valve.

Claim 18 also recites the method of decomposing light from a light source into a plurality of colors, controlling a white-light transmitting filter from a set of filters by a signal converter portion, controlling by a drive device that is coupled to said signal converter portion, the light transmitting filters and a light valve. The drive device, as also recited in claim 11, is coupled to the signal converter portion and creates control signals that control both the light transmitting filters and light valve.

The combination of Kunzman and Hewlett does not teach the claimed invention. The Office Action relies upon Kunzman to teach the claimed drive device, light valve and light transmitting filters. The Office Action alleges that the SLM 28 of Kunzman corresponds to the claimed light valve, the color wheel 18 corresponds to the claimed light transmitting filters and the combination of the sensor board 22 and motor 20 correspond to the drive device.

Applicants respectfully submit that the drive device, as recited in claim 11, controls both the transmitting filters and light valve. To the contrary, the combination of the sensor board 22 and motor 20 in Kunzman, control the color wheel 18 only and not the SLM 28. The SLM 28 appears to be controlled by the

electronics 24 to which it is connected. See column 3, lines 38-58 and Fig. 1. Thus, Kunzman does not teach or suggest a drive device that controls light transmitting filters and light valve, as claimed.

Further, for the same reasons regarding claim 11, Kunzman fails to teach or suggest controlling, by a drive device coupled to said signal converter portion, the light transmitting filters and a light valve used to project light from the set of filters, recited in claim 18. The sensor board 22 and motor 20 of Kunzman only control the color wheel 18. It is the electronics 24 that interacts with the SLM 28.

Therefore, in view of the above, every feature of the claims is not taught by the combination of Kunzman and Hewlett. Thus, *prima facie* obviousness has not been established. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

In view of the above, applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of the application.


Conclusion

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Chad J. Billings (Reg. No. 48,917) at the telephone number of the undersigned below.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By 
Michael R. Cammarata, #39,491

MRC/CJB:cb
0925-0184P

P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000

Attachment(s)